

Mathmet Measurement Uncertainty Training Activity:

Curricula for legal metrology and accreditation

Thierry Caebergs (SPF Economie – division Métrologie) on behalf of the consortium



Why MU training for legal metrology and accreditation ?

- First tier of stakeholders of NMIs in the dissemination of SI units/traceability
- Legal metrology: make a legal decision based on a measurement, considering its « risks »
 - \rightarrow conformity assessment



Irainin

Why MU training for legal metrology and accreditation ?

- <u>Accreditation</u>: in the context of ISO/IEC 17025
 - requirement : MU must be evaluated
 - <u>testing</u> : evaluation of quality of the measurement
 - <u>calibration</u> : also but with the idea of enabling traceability downstream



Trainin

Curricul-a (-um ?) for MU training

- Address specific needs and, as well as groundings for them
- Only headlines about the content, but good starting point for structure
- Sub-audiences are also possible
- Modularity :
 - Big institutes : rich portfolio of trainings, and dependency tree
 - Smaller institutes : fewer trainings, more a table of contents
- Options for refresh courses





Overview



- Method and analysis
 - Legal metrology consultation (IMBiH and IPQ)
 - Survey within the accreditation community (ACCREDIA)
- Curricula
 - Legal metrology
 - Accreditation
- Synthesis of curricula
 - Common parts vs. very specific parts

Legal metrology consultation



- Led by IMBiH and IPQ
- Consultation of WELMEC by its chairs and WG chairs
- Further discussions with some WG and direct colleagues for feedback
- MU in place for their WG's activities but what if : new rule, new technology, new legislation, people leave ?
- More guidelines needed for sampling within MID (modules F and F1)
- Outcome of the workshop : not always quantification needed but first to detect factors of influence

Curriculum for legal metrology



• 5 modules

- 3 for measurement, measurement uncertainty and statistics :
 - designing a first budget of uncertainty, discussing the measurement
 - elaborating a simple budget of uncertainty
 - non-normal probability laws
 - how to read a certificate ?
- module about conformity assessment : vocabulary, content (JCGM 106:2012)
 - conformity (yes/no decision)
 - evaluation of consumer's and producer's risks
- module about statistical sampling : lot acceptance (→ MID modules F and F1), market surveillance.
 - more explanation, use of model curves, software

Accreditation survey



- Led by ACCREDIA
- Survey Questions/Topics elaborated by a focus group in Italy
- Circulation of the survey as a Google Form via EA.
- Analysis at Italian level and EA level (outside Italy)
- High number of responses

 → Enough for numerical data analysis

- 21 topics
- For each, rate 1-4: interest and knowledge
- Preferred teaching approaches
- Subanalysis in categories : (tech. assessor vs lab) X (test vs cal.)
- Significance of difference by (Wilcoxon-)Mann-Whitney test

Accreditation survey - questionnaire



Q1	Mathematic elements for the evaluation of uncertainty	Q 12	Monte Carlo Method for the propagation of the probability distributions applied to measurement models with more measurands (Multivariate model)
Q 2	Probability and statistics elements	Q 13	GUM approach vs Monte Carlo method in measurement uncertainty evaluation
Q 3	Fundamental concepts of metrology	Q 14	Alternative methods for the evaluation of the measurement uncertainty based on Bayesian approach
Q 4	GUM approach: evaluation of type A and type B uncertainty components	Q 15	Evaluation of measurement uncertainty based on methods validation data
Q 5	GUM approach: combined standard uncertainty evaluation with uncorrelated input quantities	Q 16	Evaluation of measurement uncertainty based on data from participation in interlaboratory comparisons/Proficiency Testing and data from practical experience
Q 6	GUM approach: combined standard uncertainty evaluation with correlated input quantities	Q 17	Fitness for purpose of evaluated measurement uncertainty and target uncertainty
Q 7	GUM approach: determination of expanded uncertainty (U) and coverage factors (k)	Q 18	Reporting measurement result
Q 8	GUM approach: application of Multivariate Measurement Models (with multiple measurands)	Q 19	"Coverage factor", new approaches for expanded measurement uncertainty evaluation
Q 9	Definition and use of theoretical or empirical measurement models	Q 20	Evaluation of uncertainty from sampling and its contribution to the overall measurement uncertainty
Q 10	Least Squares Method applied to metrology (with or without correlation between the input quantities)	Q 21	Statements of conformity to specifications
Q 11	Monte Carlo Method for the propagation of probability distributions applied to measurement models with a single measurand (Univariate model)		

Responses to survey



- Majority is from testing and from laboratories, for Europe (outside Italy) and Italy
- Numbers of responses :
 - Italy : 805
 - EA (outside Italy): 477
- \rightarrow quantitative analysis possible



High interest and knowledge



(on results in Italy)



Testing - Laboratories

Testing- Technical Assessor







Calibration - Technical Assessor



Mann-Whitney test



- Ordering by average and similarity testing by Mann-Whitney
- Knowledge :

	2,89	<<	3,06	~	3,17	<<	3,64
ex.:Q3:	Lab Test		Assessor Test		Lab Cal		Assessor Cal

 \rightarrow Q3 «Fundamental concepts of metrology » can be split into

- « basic » (lab test)
- « intermediate » (assessors test and lab cal)
- « advanced » (assessors cal)

Grouping topics and levels



(on results in Italy)

		Homogeneous	labs test < TA test, labs cal < TA cal	labs < TA test < TA cal	labs < TA	< TA cal	< TA test	labs cal <	Proposed attributions
Base mathematical concept	Q1 Q2 Q3								General concepts
Propagating uncertainties (GUM approach)	Q4 Q5 Q6 Q7 Q8 Q9 Q18								
Least squares method	Q10								More for
Advanced methods : Monte- Carlo and Bayesian	Q11 Q12 Q13 Q14								
MU evaluation for	Q15								For testing
specific applications	Q16 Q20 Q21								Specific applications : one short
	Q17 Q19*								lecture per topic

Grouping topics and levels



Topic	Legal metrology (mod. Ref.)	Accreditation (Q#)	Several levels ?
Simple uncertainty	Module 1.1-1.2	Q3	No
budget	Module 1.3	Q4	
	Module 1.4	Q1-2	
	1.5 Completing the uncertainty	Q17	(yes)
	budget		
	Reading a certificate	Q18 (reporting)	(yes: lab-TA)
Measurement model	Module 2, 3	Q5-9	No
and sensitivity analysis			
Conformity assessment	Module 4	Q21	yes
Sampling	Module 5	Q20	yes

Specific applications



- Accreditation :
 - More calibration-oriented :
 - Q10: least squares method
 - Q11-14: cover uncertainty propagation by Monte-Carlo method and Bayesian approach
 - More testing-oriented :
 - Q15: Evaluation of measurement uncertainty based on methods validation data,
 - Q16: Evaluation of measurement uncertainty based on data from participation in ILC/PT and data from practical experience

Implementing the curricula



- Help in designing trainings, regarding content

 → for the training methodology, also combine with outcomes of
 the workshop (see S. Demeyer's presentation)
- Adapt the content to the audience
 - \rightarrow several material documents tailor to needs each time
 - \rightarrow single material but adapt the presentation
- Adapt to your manpower
 - \rightarrow provide trainings by module or by submodule / single document





- Elaboration of curricula based on two different consultations
 - Accreditation : broad consultation by questionaire
 - Legal metrology : by consultation of WELMEC (representative)
- Some gaps have been identified, as well as current shortcomings
- Structure the training program and provide a basic table of content
- Common parts are identified
- Still work in progress, a document is being drafted.
- Many thanks to Katy and João



Questions ? Remarks ? Suggestions ?

Thank you for your attention !